



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,846	03/30/2004	Jonathan J. Hull	20412-08369	6040
76137	7590	06/16/2009		
RICOH/FENWICK		EXAMINER		
SILICON VALLEY CENTER		RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R		
801 CALIFORNIA STREET		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041		2625		
		NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		06/16/2009		ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOC@FENWICK.COM
gsueoka@fenwick.com
nmorad@fenwick.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/813,846	HULL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ.

(3) Jennifer R. Bush (50,784).

(2) King Y. Poon.

(4) Carlo Campo.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sugiyama (US 5,633,723), Fujita (US 5,111,285) and Lynch (US 7,174,151).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed applicant's claimed invention with respect to independent claim 1 and discussed the applied art rejection in the last office action. Examiner has suggested to put the arguments in writing so that it can be reviewed closely and take a determination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lennin R Rodriguez/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/King Y. Poon/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625